

Alameda County Flood Control and Water Conservation District  
Request for Qualifications  
Specifications, Terms and Conditions  
For  
Design of Riparian and Wetland Mitigation Site and Site Management Services  
RFQ No. FLO20210994  
Addendum No. 2  
February 17, 2022  
RFQ Questions

Q. Is it allowable for a firm to propose as a prime and also be included on another prime's proposal as a sub? I ask as our firm has consulting, physical implementation, and nursery divisions, and we may propose as a prime but may also be asked to include one of our services (for example nursery production) on another prime's proposal.

A. Yes, you may respond to the RFQ as a Prime and you can also be included as a sub on another prime's proposal.

Q. Is there a page limit for our response submittals?

A. There is no limit on the number of pages for the submittal.

Q. Do the subconsultants need to sign and submit Exhibits A, F, G, L and M (and others that may apply) or only the Prime?

A. Only the Prime need to complete Exhibits A, F, G, L and M and other forms that may be applicable.

Q. Will the addendum correct the experience required on page 8 under Response Content/Submittals?

A. The RFQ will be revised to correct the items listed on page 8 under Response Content/Submittals and any other discrepancies that were found in the RFQ.

Q. Are the scope of services described in paragraph *f. Scope Services* on the bottom of Page 9, and the scope of services described in *F. Scope of Service* in the Evaluation Criteria table on page 14 correct? The descriptions in those two parts of the RFP do not appear to match the scope of services described in the other portions of the RFP.

A. No they are not correct, please disregard that version of the RFQ. We have made the necessary changes to the revised RFQ that is included with the addendum.

Q. I was wondering if in your RFQ you have more information regarding the types of sites that were previously mitigated. My query stems from an understanding that some areas

may be impacted with contaminants and require additional measures that may not be covered in the ambiguous references of the RFQ.

To aid in my understanding you mentioned the last quote: if the last winning quote is on file detailing the types of sites could you send me the link to how this was addressed, or if I would be required to send a FOIA request to obtain it.

A. Areas that may be impacted with contaminants are require additional measures for clean up of hazardous materials are not included in this scope of work. Those sites which may be impacted with contaminants would be delt with by a specialist with the required expertise under a separate contract. The professional services agreement contains the following provision:

*DISTRICT acknowledges that the discovery, presence, handling or removal of asbestos products, polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) or other hazardous substances which may presently exist at the Project site is outside of CONSULTANT's expertise and is not included in the Scope of Services. CONSULTANT is to perform nor included in CONSULTANT's insurance. DISTRICT shall hire an expert CONSULTANT in this field if the Project involves such materials. CONSULTANT shall not be responsible or be involved in any way with the discovery, presence, handling or removal of such materials. CONSULTANT shall be responsible to coordinate with DISTRICT's expert CONSULTANT as required by Appendix "A", Scope of Services to be provided by CONSULTANT.*